



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/633,897	08/07/2000	William A. Royall, Jr.	ROY B-747	3640
75	590 05/16/2003			
DUANE MORRIS LLP 1667 K STREET NW SUITE 700 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			EXAMINER	
			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P	
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20006		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			3629	
			DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			`		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/633,897	ROYALL, JR. ET	AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ac	ddress		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of thir will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered time NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ely. communication.		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 /</u>	<u> August 2000</u> .				
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allows closed in accordance with the practice under	ance except for formal ma Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.	itters, prosecution as to t D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	he merits is		
Disposition of Claims					
4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-28</u> is/are pending in the application					
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>15-28</u> is/are withdray	vn from consideration.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	r election requirement.				
Application Papers	ır				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on 8/7/2000 is/are: a) accepted or b) because to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	Application No			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio application from the International Bu .* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ıreau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		l Stage		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(e) (to a provisiona	al application).		
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper N Informal Patent Application (P			

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of 1-14 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged.

Double Patenting

- 2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).
- 3. A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).
- 4. Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Art Unit: 3629

5. Claims 1-14 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of double patenting over claims 1-11 of copending Application No. 09/961,234. This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not yet been patented.

- 6. The subject matter claimed in the instant application is fully disclosed in the referenced copending application and would be covered by any patent granted on that copending application since the referenced copending application and the instant application are claiming common subject matter, as follows: target marketing of candidates for possible enrollment into an educational institution.
- 7. Furthermore, there is no apparent reason why applicant would be prevented from presenting claims corresponding to those of the instant application in the other copending application.

 See *In re Schneller*, 397 F.2d 350, 158 USPQ 210 (CCPA 1968). See also MPEP § 804.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 1-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CollegeEdge
 (www.collegeedge.com and "CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to
 Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire,
 March 1, 1999).

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

- 10. As per independent Claims 1 and 6, CollegeEdge discloses a method for profiling an inquiry pool of candidates in attending an institution of higher learning preliminarily to providing an application for enrollment, the method comprising the steps of: (a) providing a data base including information related to candidates for enrollment and the preferences of the institution for students with predetermined characteristics; (b) electronically evaluating the candidates in the data base against a first predetermined profile and (c) providing a report of the electronic evaluation ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999).
- 11. CollegeEdge fails to expressly disclose the first predetermined profile including: (i) to the extent available in the data base, information as to the candidate's high school class year, the prior visit of the candidate to the institution, and the source of the information about the candidate's initial contact with the institution in the data base, and (ii) the preferences of the institution.
- 12. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited. The candidate recruiting method would be performed regardless of the information included in the candidate profile. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, *see In re Gulack*, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 404 (Fed. Cir. 1983); *In re Lowry*, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ2d 1031 (Fed. Cir. 1994).
- 13. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have included information as to the candidate's high school class

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

year, the prior visit of the candidate to the institution, and the source of the information about the candidate's initial contact with the institution in the data base, and the preferences of the institution in the first predetermined profile, because such data does not functionally relate to the steps in the method claimed and because the subjective interpretation of the data does not patentably distinguish the claimed invention.

- 14. As per Claim 2, CollegeEdge discloses wherein the first predetermined profile includes the gender and ethnicity of each candidate; and wherein the report of the electronic reevaluation includes an evaluation of the degree of gender and ethnicity representation of the candidates in the database ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).
- 15. As per Claim 3, CollegeEdge discloses wherein the first predetermined profile also includes the geographic area residence of each candidate; and wherein the report of the electronic reevaluation also includes an evaluation of the degree of geographic area of residence representation of candidates in the database ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Webbased Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).
- 16. As per Claims 4 and 7, CollegeEdge discloses (d) preparing a mailing list as a function of evaluated interest ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

17. As per Claims 5 and 8, CollegeEdge discloses wherein the data base includes a list of available documentation about the institution (relating to preselected features of the institution); and including the further steps of: (g) selecting documentation for mailing as a function of the profiling; and (h) mailing the selected documentation ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).

Page 6

- 18. As per independent Claim 9, CollegeEdge discloses a method for profiling an inquiry pool of candidates in attending an institution of higher learning preliminarily to providing an application for enrollment, the method comprising the steps of: (a) providing a data base including information related to candidates for enrollment and the preferences of the institution for students with predetermined characteristics; (b) electronically evaluating the candidates in the data base against a first predetermined profile; and (f) providing a report of the electronic (re)evaluation ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999).
- 19. CollegeEdge fails to expressly disclose the first predetermined profile including: (i) to the extent available in the data base, information as to the candidate's high school class year, the prior visit of the candidate to the institution, and the source of the information about the candidate's initial contact with the institution in the data base, and (ii) the preferences of the institution.
- 20. However these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the steps recited, and it would have bee obvious to one of

Art Unit: 3629

ordinary skill in the art to include this data in the system disclosed by CollegeEdge (see rejection of independent Claims 1 and 6).

- 21. CollegeEdge also fails to expressly disclose (c) electronically requesting predetermined information from the selected candidates; (d) entering the electronic responses to the electronic request for information into the database; (e) electronically reevaluating the selected candidates in the data base against a second predetermined profile to thereby evaluate the interest of the selected candidates in attending the institution.
- 22. However, CollegeEdge does teach the candidate filling out an initial profile survey Universities selecting matching profiles and sending the candidate an electronic message
 with additional information about the school and it's programs ("CollegeEdge, the Leading
 Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of
 Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999).
- 23. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to request more information from the candidates through the electronic message to clarify the candidate current standing (in regard to finding a college), and resubmitting the answers of the survey back through the evaluating system disclosed by CollegeEdge.
- 24. As per Claim 10, CollegeEdge discloses wherein the electronic request includes the steps of: constructing an electronic survey; locating the electronic survey on a web page at the web site of the institution; create a unique access number for each candidate; providing each candidate with his unique access number in an e-mail request for information by an electronic survey; and automatically updating the data base with the responses from the

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

electronic survey ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).

Page 8

- 25. As per Claim 11, CollegeEdge discloses (g) preparing a mailing list of selected candidates as a function of the evaluated continued interest ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Webbased Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).
- 26. As per Claim 12, CollegeEdge discloses wherein the data base includes a list of available documentation relating to preselected features of the institution; and including the further steps of: (h) selecting available documentation as a function of the electronic responses to the electronic request for information, and (i) mailing the selected documentation to each of the selected candidates on the mailing list ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).
- 27. As per independent Claim 13, CollegeEdge discloses a method for profiling an inquiry pool of candidates in attending an institution of higher learning preliminarily to providing an application for enrollment, the method comprising the steps of: (a) providing a data base including information related to candidates for enrollment and the preferences of the institution for students with predetermined characteristics; (b) electronically evaluating the candidates in the data base against a first predetermined profile; and (f) providing a report of the electronic reevaluation.

Page 9

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

- 28. CollegeEdge fails to expressly disclose (c) providing a web page for the institution, the web page housing a survey requesting predetermined information related to the continued interest of candidates in enrolling at the institution; (d) sending an e-mail to the selected candidates directing them to the institution's web page; (e) electronically reevaluating the selected candidates in the data base against a second predetermined profile to thereby gage the continued interest of the selected candidates in attending the institution.
- 29. However CollegeEdge does teach a candidate filling out an initial profile survey Universities selecting matching profiles and sending the candidate an electronic message
 with additional information about the school and it's programs ("CollegeEdge, the Leading
 Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of
 Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999).
- 30. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a website link or address in the electronic message to the candidate and to request additional information from the candidates to clarify the candidate current standing (in regard to finding a college), and resubmitting the answers of the survey back through the evaluating system disclosed by CollegeEdge ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions, Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999).
- 31. As per Claim 14, CollegeEdge discloses wherein selected candidates are directed to the web page by a hyperlink which uniquely identifies the candidates to whom the e-mail is sent so that the survey includes information relating to the candidates when it initially appears ("CollegeEdge, the Leading Provider of Web-based Services to Educational Institutions,

Application/Control Number: 09/633,897

Art Unit: 3629

Announces Success of Enrollment Services System, Business Wire, March 1, 1999; and www.collegeedge.com).

Conclusion

- 32. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 33. The following patents are cited to show the best domestic (patented) prior art found by the examiner:

U.S. Pat. No. 5,966,695 to Melchione et al.

Melchione discloses an electronic sales and service support system and method for identifying sales targets using a centralized database to improve marketing success.

34. The following foreign patent is cited to show the best foreign prior art found by the examiner:

PCT No. WO 9849641 A1 to Root et al.

Root discloses a system and corresponding method, which provides complete functionality for creating and implementing marketing campaigns. The system formulates criteria for targeting clients based on marketing strategies, identifies and extracts targeted clients from a data warehouse, automatically generates leads/clients and tracks contact with such clients.

Art Unit: 3629

35. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Ouellette whose telephone number is (703) 605-0662. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 8am - 5:00pm.

- 36. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

 John Weiss can be reached on (703) 308-2702. The fax phone numbers for the organization
 where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-7687 for regular
 communications and (703) 305-3597 for After Final communications.
- 37. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-5484.

jo May 5, 2003 JOHN G. WEISS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600